



Please fill out this sheet after each game. After filling the sheet out, please give the sheet to the score keepers at your next game. If this game was your final game for the day, please hand it in at headquarters.

				, ,							
Spirit of the Game Score Sheet		Division:					Rou	nd:	_ Date	e:	
Your whole team should be involved in rating the other team! Circle one box in each of the five lines and sum up the points to determine the SOTG score for the other team and yourselves.	This is the	ent Name: e score you gory, it is u	would give				This is th		u would as	sign yourse r into offici	
<b>Scoring:</b> Please note that in this system, 2 is the average score. For example, if a teams Attitude and Self-control were normal, you would give that team a 2.	Poor	Not Good	poog	Very Good	Excellent		Poor	Not Good	poog	Very Good	Excellent
<b>1. Rules Knowledge and Use</b> Example: They did not purposefully misinterpret the rules. They kept to time limits. When they didn't know the rules they showed a willingness to learn.	0*	1	2	3	4*		0*	1	2	3	4*
2. Fouls and Body Contact Example: They avoided fouling, contact, and dangerous plays.	0*	1	2	3	4*		0*	1	2	3	4*
<b>3. Fair Mindedness</b> Example: They apologized in situations where it was appropriate, informed teammates about wrong /unnecessary calls. Only called significant breaches.	0*	1	2	3	4*		0*	1	2	3	4*
<b>4. Positive Attitude and Self-Control</b> Example: They were polite. They played with appropriate intensity irrespective of the score. They left an overall positive impression during and after the game.	0*	1	2	3	4*		0*	1	2	3	4*
<b>5. Communication</b> Examples: They communicated respectfully. They listened. They kept to discussion time limits.	0*	1	2	3	4*		0*	1	2	3	4*
Summing the results Sum the results of every category to a final number and write it into these boxes (the end result should be between 0 and 20).		Them	11					Us			
*Comments  If you have selected 0* or 4* in any category please explain in a few words what happened. Compliments as well as negative feedback will be passed to the teams.						Comments					

## Example behaviors for the 2014 WFDF & BULA Spirit of the Game category scores This is a guideline to improve the standardization of Spirit of the Game (SOTG) scoring. Please use common sense, especially after games which contain instances

where the opposing team has displayed both positive and negative examples of spirit in the same category.

It is assumed that a team fulfilling the 'Very Good' criteria will have been judged to already be 'Good' to earn the higher mark (and similarly being 'Very Good' before attaining 'Excellent'). Not all examples need to have occurred in order to warrant a certain score. Common sense still needs to be applied.

	Poor score	Not so Good score	Good (normal) score	Very Good score	Excellent score
nse Rules Knowledge and	They repeatedly exhibited poor knowledge of the rules They often disregarded or purposefully misinterpreted the rules They refused to learn details of the rules and SOTG	For the level of play they showed a general lack of rules knowledge.     They disregarded or purposefully misinterpreted the rules during the game a few times.     They were resistant to being taught rules or elements of SOTG.     They didn't keep to time limits. They were Offside during pulls even after an initial waming.	For the level of play they showed good knowledge of the rules     They did not purposefully misinterpret the rules     They kept to time limits     When they didn't know the rules, they showed a real willingness to learn them	For the level of play they showed an above average knowledge of the rules     There was at least one case where they helped us learn some of the rules we did not know	• For the level of play they showed excellent knowledge of the rules • They abided by the rules throughout the game • They explained the rules we did not know very clearly, efficiently, and in a way that added to our joy of the game
Fouls and Body Contact	Even after repeated calls they continued to have the same foul or contact issues     There were several instances of dangerous or reckless plays     They made little effort to avoid body contact	The amount of non-incidental body contact was a bit too much There were a few instances of dangerous or reckless plays	Nothing significant occurred beyond incidental contact	<ul> <li>There was at least one clear case of thoughtful contact avoidance</li> </ul>	There were several clear cases of thoughtful contact avoidance     They played in a style that avoided the potential for both fouls and unnecessary body contact
ssanbabniM-ris7	The opposing team always took the stance that they were right on calls on calls  When asked, teammates did not give their opinion on calls where the result could have gone against their team  They made many unjustifiable calls/contests  They made retaliatory calls  They made retaliatory calls  They made calls for tactical reasons  They unduly delayed the game for tactical reasons	They often gave the impression they would only see things in a manner favorable to their team They made a few unjustifiable calls/contests They were not consistent in their calls throughout the game They were quick to complain when we made a call, irrespective of the appropriateness of the call	They didn't call breaches that did not affect the outcome of the action, such as a minimal travel on an unmarked thrower, or fouls on throws they made that would not have been caught anyway.  They respected and acknowledged our opinions on calls, even when they disagreed.  They applogized in situations where it was appropriate (like an uncontested foul).  They adjusted their behavior based on our feedback in a way that improved the enjoyment of the game	There was at least one case where they informed teanmates when they made wrong or unnecessary calls/contests They retracted calls when they thought they were wrong	There were several clear examples of opposition players seeking to uphold the truth of the situation, even if it did not benefit them.  They remained fair-minded even in crucial situations (eg. Universe point)

Poor	11	Not so Good	Good (normal)	Very Good	Excellent
Players and/or their sideline     were often rude and discourteous towards opponents, their own opponents, their own spectators spectators spectators Physical confrontation occurred on/off the field Several instances of edge-down spiking, or aggressive celebration towards opponent celebration towards opponent of the field Several instances of deliberately damaging equipment They played in a patronizing manner (e.g. scoober only)  Poponents, trick plays, etc)  Players and/or sideline sometimes exhibited a lack of self-control and positional action towards opponents, their own teammates, officials, volunteers, organizers, and/or spectators organizers, officials, organizers, organizers, and/or spectators organizers, organizers, organizers, and/or spectators organizers, organizers, organizers, and/or spectators organizers, organiz	Players and/or side whibited a lack of positive attitude to opponently, their cofficials, volunteer and/or spectators     They actively celeierrors to humiliatte.     A few instances of spiking or aggressitowards opponently towards opponently.  A few instances of damaging equipm	eline sometimes self-control and wards wur teammates, with teammates, r. organizers, orated our rated our egge-down we celebration the deliberately ent	Players and/or sideline generally exhibited self-control and positive attitude towards opponents, officials, and spectators     Opposing team left an overall positive impression during and after the game, e.g. during the Spirit circle     They were polite to us, their teammates, officials and spectators     They thanked us for the game     They played with appropriate intensity irrespective of the score	They introduced themselves to us  They complimented us on a good play by either team in a positive manner  There were 1-2 instances where they clearly showed very good self-control	Demonstrated excellent self control on the field during potentially stressful situations.     Highest level of self-control and positive attitude shown throughout game towards opponents, officials, and spectators
They frequently refused to discuss issues/calls They got angry/reacted with contempt at several calls/contests They got angry/reacted with contempt at several calls/contests They got angry/reacted with contempt at several calls/contests They december a few instances where they were not calm while communicating frequently rude or aggressive, they were a few instances where their body language was rude or aggressive, such as smirking or making offensive hand gestures  They got angry/reacted with got involved in the play got involved with a series of the play got involved in the play got involved without having best involved in the play got	Players not involved got involved got involved without perspective or being asked/getting permit times.     There were a few ins they were not calm v communicating.     There were a few ins their body language aggressive, such as a making offensive han.     They did not keep to time limits.	in the play having best sion several tances where while was rude or mirking or discussion	Conflicts were resolved without incident They communicated respectfully They listened They kept to discussion time limits They clearly explained their point of view The sideline/other players helped out when asked	They provided evidence to support their calls  They communicated their point of view effectively and calmly Their captain/leaders Communicated with our leaders very effectively  They brought up spirit issues and general concerns as early as possible	They explained the game to spectators and newcomers They motivated us to keep high spirit and suggested concrete examples on how to do it They communicated very effectively and made us feel comfortable discussing the game They properly used official hand signals to indicate fouls, scores, etc